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Abstract

Purpose: The aim of the study was to analyze the role of livestock insurance in mitigating financial impact of epidemic outbreaks on cattle farms in India.

Methodology: This study adopted a desk methodology. A desk study research design is commonly known as secondary data collection. This is basically collecting data from existing resources preferably because of its low cost advantage as compared to a field research. Our current study looked into already published studies and reports as the data was easily accessed through online journals and libraries.

Findings: Livestock insurance plays a crucial role in mitigating the financial impact of epidemic outbreaks on cattle farms. Studies consistently show that cattle farms with livestock insurance experience lower financial losses post-epidemic compared to those without insurance, as they are able to recoup a portion of their losses through insurance claims. Moreover, insured cattle farms exhibit a higher recovery rate, enabling them to rebuild their herds more quickly and resume normal operations. Comprehensive livestock insurance policies that address various risk factors, including disease outbreaks and market price fluctuations, are particularly effective in stabilizing farm incomes and enhancing financial resilience.

Unique Contribution to Theory, Practice and Policy: Risk management theory, theory of planned behavior (TPB) & prospect theory may be used to anchor future studies on analyze the role of livestock insurance in mitigating financial impact of epidemic outbreaks on cattle farms. Subsidizing premiums can change the economic calculus for farmers, making the decision to invest in insurance more attractive and financially feasible. Encouraging regional risk pools would necessitate legislative support and possibly inter-state agreements to facilitate broader risk management strategies.
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INTRODUCTION

In developed economies like the USA and the UK, the cattle farming industry has demonstrated resilience in recovering from recent epidemics, such as the COVID-19 pandemic. In the United States, cattle farms experienced initial financial setbacks due to disruptions in supply chains and reduced demand from the hospitality sector. However, recovery has been robust, facilitated by government subsidies and strong domestic demand. Recent statistics indicate that by the end of 2021, the average financial loss per head had reduced by approximately 30%, and the recovery rate, measured by return to pre-pandemic profitability levels, was over 60% within a year (Johnson, 2022). In the UK, the impact was somewhat cushioned by ongoing agricultural support policies from the government, which helped stabilize prices and secure markets, resulting in a recovery rate of around 55% by mid-2021 (Harper, 2021). In Japan, the situation was different due to the country’s heavy reliance on beef imports. While domestic cattle farms did face challenges, especially those catering to premium beef markets, government interventions in the form of financial aid and export incentives helped mitigate losses. The recovery rate in Japan has been slower compared to the US and UK, primarily because of reduced tourism and changes in consumer behavior, with a recovery rate lingering around 40% by the end of 2021 (Tanaka, 2022).

In developing economies, the financial stability of cattle farms post-epidemic has been more precarious. In countries like Brazil and India, the cattle industry suffered significantly due to a lack of adequate health infrastructure and limited governmental support during epidemics. For example, in Brazil, the financial losses were extensive due to the collapse of meat export markets, and the recovery has been sluggish, with a recovery rate estimated at only about 30% two years post-epidemic (Costa, 2022). In India, the impact was compounded by labor shortages and logistical disruptions, leading to a recovery rate of merely 25%, as smallholder farmers lacked the financial reserves to withstand prolonged downturns (Patel, 2021).

In addition to the USA, UK, and Japan, other developed countries like Australia and Canada have also grappled with the financial implications of epidemics on their cattle farming industries. In Australia, the cattle industry faced significant challenges during the COVID-19 pandemic, exacerbated by drought conditions and trade disruptions. However, the sector demonstrated resilience, buoyed by strong domestic demand and proactive government support measures, resulting in a recovery rate exceeding 50% by the end of 2021 (Smith et al., 2022). Similarly, in Canada, cattle farmers experienced initial setbacks due to supply chain disruptions and reduced export opportunities. Nonetheless, strategic government interventions and innovative marketing strategies helped mitigate losses, leading to a recovery rate of approximately 45% within the same timeframe (Jones & Brown, 2021).

In the European Union (EU), countries like Germany and France have also faced significant challenges in maintaining the financial stability of their cattle farming sectors post-epidemic. During the COVID-19 pandemic, disruptions in supply chains and market closures led to a decline in demand for beef products, impacting the profitability of cattle farms. However, targeted government support packages, including subsidies for livestock producers and measures to stimulate domestic consumption, have helped mitigate financial losses and facilitate recovery. By the end of 2021, the cattle farming industry in Germany and France showed signs of resilience, with recovery rates estimated at approximately 50% and 55%, respectively (Schmidt & Dubois, 2022; Dupont, 2021). These examples from Australia, Canada, Germany, and France demonstrate the varying degrees of financial stability and recovery observed in developed economies' cattle farming sectors during and after recent epidemics.
farming sectors post-epidemic. Despite facing initial challenges, proactive government interventions, coupled with robust domestic demand and adaptive strategies within the industry, have contributed to gradual recovery and the restoration of profitability. However, ongoing monitoring and support will be crucial to ensure the long-term sustainability of cattle farming in these countries amidst evolving market conditions and potential future epidemics.

In addition to Germany and France, New Zealand has also encountered challenges in maintaining the financial stability of its cattle farming sector post-epidemic. The country's reliance on export markets for beef and dairy products left it vulnerable to disruptions in global trade during the COVID-19 pandemic. However, New Zealand's cattle industry benefited from strong government support, including subsidies for farm operating costs and assistance with market diversification efforts. As a result, the sector exhibited resilience, with recovery rates surpassing 60% by the end of 2021 (Wilson & Thompson, 2022). Similarly, in South Korea, the cattle farming industry faced significant upheaval due to the pandemic's impact on consumer behavior and trade patterns. However, proactive government interventions, such as financial aid for affected farmers and promotional campaigns to stimulate domestic beef consumption, helped mitigate financial losses and expedite recovery. By mid-2021, the cattle farming sector in South Korea had achieved a recovery rate of approximately 50%, indicating a gradual return to pre-epidemic levels of financial stability (Kim, 2021).

In addition to New Zealand and South Korea, Sweden and Denmark have also grappled with the financial stability of their cattle farming sectors post-epidemic. In Sweden, the cattle industry faced disruptions in both domestic and export markets, leading to financial losses for farmers. However, swift government interventions, such as income support schemes and assistance with market reorientation, helped cushion the impact and facilitate recovery. By the end of 2021, the cattle farming sector in Sweden had made significant progress in recovering financial stability, with recovery rates exceeding 70% (Andersson & Nielsen, 2022). Similarly, in Denmark, the cattle farming industry experienced challenges due to supply chain disruptions and reduced demand during the pandemic. However, proactive measures by the government, including subsidies for farm operations and initiatives to stimulate domestic consumption, supported the sector's resilience. By mid-2021, the cattle farming industry in Denmark had shown signs of recovery, with financial stability improving and recovery rates reaching approximately 65% (Jensen, 2021).

In Sub-Saharan Africa, the scenario is even more challenging due to the fragile economic conditions and less robust health systems. Countries like Kenya and Nigeria, which have significant cattle farming industries, witnessed severe financial losses following epidemics like Rift Valley Fever. In Kenya, despite some community-level resilience strategies, the recovery rate remains low, hovering around 20%, exacerbated by ongoing issues such as drought and market access limitations (Omondi, 2022). In Nigeria, the financial stability of cattle farms has been critically affected by not only epidemics but also by conflicts and displacement, leading to a dismal recovery rate of around 15% (Adeoye, 2021).

Kenya and South Africa have encountered unique challenges in maintaining the financial stability of their cattle farming sectors post-epidemic. In Kenya, the cattle industry faced disruptions in both domestic and export markets, exacerbated by factors such as supply chain interruptions and reduced consumer purchasing power. Despite these challenges, government interventions aimed at providing financial relief to affected farmers and promoting domestic consumption of beef helped alleviate some of the financial burdens. However, the recovery process has been slow, with
the cattle farming sector in Kenya still struggling to regain pre-epidemic levels of profitability by the end of 2021 (Omondi & Ndung'u, 2022).

Similarly, in South Africa, the cattle farming industry encountered significant setbacks due to disruptions in both domestic and international trade during the epidemic. Supply chain disruptions and reduced demand for beef products led to financial losses for farmers, particularly smallholder producers who lacked adequate resources to withstand prolonged downturns. Government support programs aimed at providing financial assistance and market access initiatives have been crucial in supporting the sector's recovery efforts. However, challenges such as ongoing market volatility and limited access to resources continue to pose obstacles to the financial stability of the cattle farming industry in South Africa (Mthembu, 2021).

The adoption of livestock insurance can significantly impact the financial stability of cattle farms, particularly in the aftermath of epidemics. One key aspect of livestock insurance adoption is the provision of coverage for disease outbreaks and other epidemics, which can help mitigate financial losses incurred by cattle farmers due to animal mortality and reduced productivity. For example, a study by Ahmed (2020) found that cattle farms with livestock insurance experienced lower financial losses post-epidemic compared to those without insurance, as they were able to recoup a portion of their losses through insurance claims. Additionally, the adoption of livestock insurance can enhance the recovery rate of cattle farms by providing a financial safety net that enables farmers to reinvest in their operations and rebuild their herds more quickly after an epidemic. This is supported by research from Khan (2019), who observed that cattle farms with insurance were able to recover more rapidly from financial setbacks compared to uninsured farms, as they had access to funds to purchase replacement livestock and cover other operational expenses.

Moreover, the adoption of livestock insurance schemes tailored to the specific needs and risks faced by cattle farms post-epidemic can further bolster financial stability. For instance, insurance products that offer coverage for market price fluctuations or supply chain disruptions resulting from epidemics can help mitigate revenue losses and stabilize farm incomes. This was evidenced in a study by Li (2021), which highlighted the importance of comprehensive livestock insurance policies that address various risk factors faced by cattle farmers. Additionally, the availability of government-supported livestock insurance programs can incentivize adoption among cattle farmers, particularly smallholders who may be more vulnerable to financial shocks post-epidemic. Research by Wang (2022) emphasized the role of policy interventions in promoting the uptake of livestock insurance and enhancing the resilience of cattle farming communities in the face of epidemics.

**Problem Statement**

The livestock sector in India plays a critical role in the agricultural economy, contributing significantly to the income of rural households. However, this sector is frequently threatened by epidemic outbreaks, which can cause severe financial losses to cattle farmers. Despite the availability of livestock insurance schemes, there is a low uptake among farmers, often due to lack of awareness, perceived high costs, and distrust in the efficacy of such schemes (Kumar & Singh, 2021). The recent outbreaks of foot-and-mouth disease and lumpy skin disease have underscored the vulnerability of the cattle farming community to sudden economic shocks, which can lead to long-term economic instability and increased poverty (Sharma, 2022). This study aims to investigate the effectiveness of existing livestock insurance policies in India, examining how they
can be better utilized to mitigate financial risks during epidemics. The research will explore the barriers to the adoption of insurance and propose strategies to enhance its penetration among cattle farmers, ultimately contributing to the resilience and sustainability of the livestock sector.

Theoretical Framework

Risk Management Theory

This theory addresses the strategies individuals or enterprises employ to manage risks, focusing on identification, assessment, and prioritization of risks followed by coordinated application of resources to minimize, monitor, and control probabilities of unforeseen events. Developed from the field of economics and business management, with contributions by numerous scholars and practitioners over time. This theory is highly relevant to studying livestock insurance as it provides a framework for understanding how insurance can be used as a tool for financial risk management in agriculture, particularly in mitigating losses due to livestock diseases (Hardaker, 2004).

Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB)

TPB, developed by Icek Ajzen, predicts an individual's intention to engage in a behavior at a specific time and place. It considers attitudes towards the behavior, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control as key drivers influencing behavioral intentions and actions. Icek Ajzen (1991) introduced TPB as an extension of the Theory of Reasoned Action. In the context of livestock insurance, TPB helps explain why farmers choose to adopt or reject livestock insurance based on their attitudes (financial security), perceived norms (community practices), and control beliefs (access to and affordability of insurance) (Ajzen, 1991).

Prospect Theory

Prospect Theory deals with decision-making under risk, highlighting how people value gains and losses differently, leading them to make decisions based on perceived gains rather than actual outcomes. Developed by Daniel Kahneman and Amos Tversky in 1979. This theory is pertinent for understanding how cattle farmers perceive the risks and benefits of purchasing livestock insurance. It explains farmers' psychological responses to financial risks associated with epidemics and their decisions to invest in insurance as a loss aversion strategy (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979).

Empirical Review

Anderson and Lee (2018) delved into the effectiveness of livestock insurance programs in buffering cattle farms in Texas against financial losses during the Bovine Respiratory Disease outbreak. Employing a case-control methodology, the study meticulously compared financial resilience between insured and uninsured farms. This comprehensive approach involved collecting extensive financial data over a three-year period and analyzing it through regression models to assess recovery rates and profitability. Findings clearly indicated that insured farms experienced a quicker financial recovery, maintaining liquidity and continuing operations 30% faster than their uninsured counterparts. Anderson and Lee also noted a higher survival rate among insured farms, suggesting that insurance provides a critical safety net that helps sustain farm operations during crises. Based on these results, the study strongly recommended the broader adoption and customization of insurance programs, tailored to address specific regional disease risks and farm
sizes. They also suggested further research into the types of insurance products that would be most beneficial in different agricultural contexts.

Patel (2019) analyzed how livestock insurance influences decision-making on cattle farms in Alberta, Canada, during epidemic outbreaks. This qualitative study included in-depth interviews with over 100 farm owners and financial data analysis to explore the behavioral impacts of having insurance coverage. The researchers found that having insurance significantly affected farmers' willingness to invest in preventative health measures for their livestock. Farmers with insurance were more likely to engage in proactive health practices, such as vaccinations and regular veterinary check-ups, believing that these measures would support their claims for compensation if an outbreak occurred. Patel and colleagues highlighted the psychological comfort that insurance provided to farmers, allowing them to make more confident business decisions. However, the study also identified gaps in the farmers' understanding of their insurance policies, particularly in terms of what is covered and the process of filing claims. Recommendations from the study included integrating insurance with comprehensive veterinary health plans and enhancing communication between insurance companies and farmers. The researchers also proposed government interventions to promote and subsidize insurance premiums during the initial adoption phase to encourage wider participation.

Kumar's (2020) assessed the impact of livestock insurance on economic outcomes for cattle farms during the Foot and Mouth Disease outbreak across several regions in India. Utilizing econometric models to analyze data from 200 farms, the study aimed to quantify the protective financial impact of insurance. Kumar gathered data on farm productivity, income levels, and insurance claims to determine how insurance influenced economic resilience. His findings demonstrated a stark contrast in financial outcomes between insured and uninsured farms, with insured farms mitigating financial losses by up to 40%. The research also highlighted that farms with insurance tended to have better access to resources for disease management and recovery. Kumar noted that while insurance provided significant economic benefits, there was a low penetration of insurance coverage across the broader farming community. He recommended enhancing insurance coverage options, increasing awareness among farmers about the benefits of insurance, and simplifying the insurance application and claims processes. Furthermore, Kumar suggested that government subsidies for insurance premiums could increase adoption rates, particularly among small to medium-sized farms, thereby strengthening the overall agricultural sector's resilience against epidemics.

Thompson and Rodriguez (2021) focused on the role of government-subsidized livestock insurance in managing financial risks during livestock disease outbreaks in Argentina. They conducted a longitudinal study tracking the financial performance of cattle farms over five years, comparing those with subsidized insurance to those without. The study utilized detailed financial records and insurance claim data to analyze the economic stability of farms facing epidemic outbreaks. Thompson and Rodriguez found that government subsidies for livestock insurance significantly reduced the rates of bankruptcy and financial distress among insured farms. The researchers observed that the subsidies not only helped cover the costs of immediate losses but also supported long-term recovery by enabling continuous investment in farm operations and health measures. The study called for increased government funding for livestock insurance and suggested that such programs be tailored to the specific needs of different farm sizes and types. The recommendations aimed at policymakers advocated for a scalable model of subsidies that
would adjust based on epidemic risks and regional economic conditions. Additionally, Thompson and Rodriguez proposed creating more robust feedback mechanisms between farmers, insurers, and government agencies to improve the efficiency and responsiveness of insurance programs.

O’Neill (2022) investigated the long-term financial impacts of livestock insurance on small to medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) in New Zealand following an epidemic outbreak. By analyzing financial records and insurance claim data from over 250 farms, the researchers aimed to understand the correlation between comprehensive insurance policies and business continuity. O’Neill and colleagues found a significant positive relationship between the breadth of insurance coverage and the stability of farm operations post-epidemic. The study highlighted that well-structured insurance schemes could provide critical financial support, enabling farms to recover without resorting to drastic measures like downsizing or liquidation. The research also pointed out the need for more inclusive insurance models that cater to the diverse needs of SMEs across different agricultural sectors. Recommendations included government-backed insurance options that offer flexibility in coverage to address the specific risks associated with different types of livestock diseases. The researchers also advocated for the development of partnership models between insurance companies and agricultural cooperatives to enhance the reach and impact of insurance programs.

Gomez and Wu (2023) examined how insurance literacy impacts the uptake and effectiveness of livestock insurance in mitigating financial impacts during the Lumpy Skin Disease outbreak across several provinces in China. Utilizing a mixed-method approach, Gomez and Wu conducted surveys and focus groups involving 300 farm owners to assess their understanding of insurance policies and its correlation with financial outcomes. The study revealed that farms with higher levels of insurance literacy were better prepared and experienced less financial hardship during the outbreak. The researchers found that knowledge about how to effectively choose and utilize insurance products directly influenced the speed and efficiency of recovery from financial losses. However, the study also highlighted a significant gap in insurance literacy among smallholder farmers, suggesting a need for targeted educational programs. Gomez and Wu recommended that insurance companies collaborate with agricultural cooperatives and local government bodies to offer regular training sessions and workshops focused on enhancing farmers' understanding of insurance benefits and claim processes. They also suggested that more intuitive and farmer-friendly insurance documentation could improve accessibility and transparency, thereby increasing insurance uptake. Additionally, the study proposed that government policy should support and possibly subsidize these educational initiatives to ensure widespread benefit.

Ibrahim and Chandra (2022) evaluated the comparative effectiveness of traditional livestock insurance versus index-based insurance in mitigating the financial impacts of the Rift Valley Fever epidemic in Kenya. This mixed-methods research involved detailed financial analysis, farmer interviews, and a review of insurance claim records from over 400 farms. Ibrahim and Chandra aimed to determine which insurance model provided more rapid response and effective coverage in the face of widespread livestock disease outbreaks. Their findings indicated that index-based insurance, which pays out based on predetermined index parameters (such as rainfall levels or disease incidence rates) rather than individual loss assessments, offered quicker disbursements and required less paperwork, thereby reducing administrative burdens and speeding recovery. However, the study also identified challenges with index-based insurance, such as the risk of basis risk, where payouts do not always perfectly align with individual losses. Despite these challenges,
the researchers recommended the broader adoption of index-based insurance in regions prone to epidemics, due to its efficiency and scalability. They also suggested further development of the indices used to trigger payouts, to more accurately reflect actual losses and reduce basis risk. The study called for collaborative efforts between governments, international agencies, and insurance firms to refine and promote index-based insurance models as a tool for economic resilience in agricultural communities.

METHODOLOGY
This study adopted a desk methodology. A desk study research design is commonly known as secondary data collection. This is basically collecting data from existing resources preferably because of its low-cost advantage as compared to field research. Our current study looked into already published studies and reports as the data was easily accessed through online journals and libraries.

FINDINGS
The results were analyzed into various research gap categories that is conceptual, contextual and methodological gaps

Conceptually Gap: While the effectiveness of livestock insurance programs in mitigating financial risks during disease outbreaks has been explored, there is a lack of understanding regarding the specific types of insurance products that would be most beneficial in different agricultural contexts. Anderson and Lee's (2018) study in Texas and Kumar's (2020) study in India highlight the need for further research into the customization of insurance programs to address regional disease risks and farm sizes. Additionally, there is limited insight into the behavioral impacts of insurance adoption among farmers, particularly regarding decision-making processes and risk management strategies, as evidenced by Patel's (2019) study in Canada and Gomez and Wu's (2023) study in China.

Contextually Gap: There is a gap in understanding the role of government subsidies in promoting livestock insurance adoption and enhancing financial resilience among farmers. While Thompson and Rodriguez's (2021) study in Argentina and O'Neill's (2022) study in New Zealand demonstrate the positive impact of subsidies on reducing financial distress among insured farms, further research is needed to determine the most effective subsidy models and their scalability across different regions and economic conditions. Moreover, there is a lack of comprehensive studies examining the long-term impacts of insurance literacy on insurance uptake and effectiveness, particularly among smallholder farmers in developing countries, as highlighted by Gomez and Wu (2023).

Geographically Gap: There is a limited focus on the comparative effectiveness of different insurance models, such as traditional versus index-based insurance, in diverse agricultural contexts. Ibrahim and Chandra's (2022) study in Kenya is one of the few examples that directly compare the two insurance models in the context of livestock disease outbreaks. However, further research is needed to assess the scalability, efficiency, and basis risk associated with index-based insurance in other regions prone to epidemics. Additionally, there is a geographic gap in research on the effectiveness of livestock insurance programs in regions with unique disease profiles and socio-economic challenges, such as Sub-Saharan Africa, where smallholder farmers are particularly vulnerable to livestock disease outbreaks.
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusions
In conclusion, the role of livestock insurance in mitigating the financial impact of epidemic outbreaks on cattle farms in India has proven to be critically important. The analysis reveals that livestock insurance serves as a vital financial safety net that helps cattle farmers manage the economic risks associated with disease outbreaks. Specifically, insurance enables farmers to recover from losses more swiftly, maintaining the stability of their operations and preventing long-term economic downturns. However, the effectiveness of livestock insurance is contingent upon several factors including the awareness among farmers, the accessibility of affordable insurance products, and the efficiency of claim processes. It is clear from the findings that enhancing these aspects can significantly improve the uptake and impact of livestock insurance. Therefore, policy interventions aimed at promoting widespread adoption through farmer education, subsidized premiums, and streamlined claim procedures are essential. By bolstering the framework for livestock insurance, India can better safeguard its cattle farming industry against the unpredictable financial distress caused by epidemic outbreaks, thereby enhancing overall economic resilience within the agricultural sector.

Recommendations

Theory
The recommendations for enhancing the role of livestock insurance in India contribute to several theoretical frameworks, particularly behavioral economics, risk pooling, technological innovation in service delivery, cooperative risk management, and public-private partnerships (PPP). Behavioral economics explains farmers' hesitations regarding insurance due to limited understanding, suggesting that targeted education could alter perceptions and behaviors. The risk pooling principle supports the idea of subsidies to distribute risks more broadly among larger groups, making insurance schemes more attractive and viable. Theories of technological innovation highlight how integrating advanced technologies can revolutionize insurance processes, making them more efficient and transparent. Cooperative risk management theories advocate for regional risk pools to diversify and stabilize insurance markets. Lastly, PPP theories underscore the potential synergies from collaboration between the government and private sector in delivering complex services like insurance, combining public oversight with private sector efficiency and innovation.

Practice
In practice, these recommendations aim to transform how livestock insurance operates in India, addressing specific operational challenges and opportunities. Enhancing awareness through education programs helps bridge the information gap that often prevents farmers from leveraging insurance products effectively. Subsidizing premiums can change the economic calculus for farmers, making the decision to invest in insurance more attractive and financially feasible. The use of technology in claims processing introduces practical efficiencies that can drastically reduce the time and bureaucracy involved in compensating farmers, thereby providing timely financial relief. Developing regional risk pools allows for practical implementation of theoretical risk distribution, showing real-world applications of economic theories in insurance settings. The encouragement of public-private partnerships introduces a model of operation that leverages the
strengths of both sectors, fostering innovation and sustainable practices within the livestock insurance industry.

Policy

The policy contributions of these recommendations provide a roadmap for governmental action to support and enhance livestock insurance mechanisms effectively. By incorporating educational programs into agricultural extension services, policies can ensure a widespread and consistent dissemination of crucial information about insurance benefits and processes, thereby enabling informed decision-making among farmers. Subsidy frameworks for insurance premiums would require policy adjustments and financial commitments from the government to make insurance accessible to a broader range of farmers, including those at the margins. Policies promoting the integration of technology in insurance processes need to create standards and incentives for insurers to adopt new technologies. Encouraging regional risk pools would necessitate legislative support and possibly inter-state agreements to facilitate broader risk management strategies. Finally, developing effective public-private partnerships would involve creating policies that encourage private investment in agricultural insurance while ensuring that such investments are aligned with public goals and adequately regulated to protect farmers’ interests.
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