International Journal of
Agriculture
(IJA)

Extent and Dynamics of Food Insecurity: The Case of Smallholder Farmers in Assosa District, Western Ethiopia

Teha Romanu Benti



International Journal of Agriculture
ISSN 2520-4629X (Online)
Vol.8, Issue 3, No. 2. PP. 15 - 23, 2023

www.iprjb.org

Extent and Dynamics of Food Insecurity: The Case of Smallholder Farmers in Assosa District, Western Ethiopia

Teha Romanu Benti
Natural Resource Management, Assosa Agricultural Technical and Vocational Education Training College, Assosa, Ethiopia

Article History

Received 12th November 2023

Received in Revised Form 20th November 2023

Accepted 4th December 2023

Abstract

Purpose: Most of the African countries including Ethiopia are often characterized by problems of food insecurity. Despite several efforts made so far to improve the overall food insecurity situation, the challenge is still prevalent problem in Ethiopia. Hence the study was conducted with the specific objectives of examining the extent and dynamics of food insecurity in Assosa district, Western Ethiopia.

Methodology: Data were collected from 138 randomly selected households in four randomly selected kebeles of the district. Besides, data was collected using household survey, focus group discussions (FGDs), and key informant interviews. Data was analyzed using food consumption score and qualitative analysis.

Findings: Based on world food program to calculate the food consumption score FCS results of food group, out of total respondents 81.16 percent of food insecurity household were poor food consumption groups. The other remaining 7.25 percent and 11.59 percent of food security households were borderline and acceptable food consumption group, respectively. This indicated that the extent of food insecurity of the households is high because most of households were found poor food consumption group. The result shows that between 2018 and 2019 food secure households declined from 42% to 38.41%, and food insecure households increased from 58% to 61.59%. Between 2020 and 2021, the proportion of food secure households declined from 21.74% to 18.84%, whereas the proportion of food insecure households increased from 78.26% to 81.16%.

Unique Contribution to Theory, Practice and Policy: Food security issues at the Assosa district require more in-depth and continued study outputs and proper use and implementation of the information gained as the area are found to be influenced by several, interlocked and site specific dynamic that, of course, require immediate and coordinated attention from different stakeholders.

Keywords: *Food Insecurity, Dynamics, Extent, Households, Food Consumption Score*

INTRODUCTION

The series of food crises of Africa in 1970s and 1980s have led to continued interest in the various factors that influence peasant food security base [18]. Ethiopia has been the largest recipient of food aid in Sub-Saharan Africa [9]. Large proportion of the population has been under nourishment over the past one and a half decades. Although the proportion of the population in under nourishment reduced from 69 percent in 1994/95 to 35 percent in 2013/14 [9], it still remains at an undesirable level.

The major causes for the slow growth rates of agriculture include various factors such as unfavorable climatic conditions, undeveloped infrastructures and predominantly traditional production system. Ethiopia lies within one of the most food insecure regions in the world, with a large number of its population living at subsistence levels and dependent on farm production highly vulnerable to severe droughts. The smallholder peasant sector is the most important agricultural sub sector in the country. The production volume of food grain crops as per capital food production has shown tremendous location throughout the 1980s thus resulting in sever food shortage in the country. The focus on large farms and western technology in agricultural policies for national food sovereignty has meant that rural economic development has been neglected [11]. Many rural households have already lost their means of livelihood due to recurrent drought and crop failures. This, therefore, calls for measures to comprehensively address the problem of food insecurity in the country.

[3] Shows that food security is an income issue, either in the form of one's own food production or from non-agricultural activities such as employment to access food through the market. The primary objective of the millennium development goals by the United Nations, conceived at the threshold of the new millennium in 1990s, was to ensure individual development for all [10]. The goals were the eradication of poverty, hunger, and generation of more employment. The evaluation of the outcomes of the decade long global efforts has provided evidence that the United Nations has only partially been capable to achieve these goals. This has necessitated the creation and implementation strategies for Sustainable Development Goals 2, which is slated to be achieved by 2030 [10].

Ethiopian government and international donors are implementing different types of responses to food insecurity to attain food self–sufficiency and reduced food aid dependency [14] Regardless of substantial resources invested each year by the Government and its partners to reduce food insecurity, both chronic and transitory food insecurity problems continued at the household level [5]. In fact, the general food security situation has highly deteriorated in different parts of the country particularly in Assosa (Disaster Risk Management and Food Security Sector, 2019).

The prevalence of food insecurity and related vulnerability is generally high in rural parts of Ethiopia, where 79% of the population live [16], with rain-fed subsistence farming dominating agricultural production. Drought expanded even to previously rainfall sufficient areas and leading to fall in productivity and crop yield loss [2] According to [17] most of the severe food crises were caused by a combination of several factors and are often interconnected. The most common causes of food insecurity in the world were: poverty trap, lack of investment in agriculture, drought, agricultural problems, climate change, war and displacement, unstable market and food wastage. Similarly, [6] food security strategy acknowledges the multifaceted and complex nature of food insecurity in Ethiopia. The adverse climate change, combined with high population pressure, environmental degradation, technological, and institutional factors have led to a decline in the size of per capita landholding causing a severe food insecurity

problem in the country [6]. Therefore, of all the challenges facing Ethiopia, ending chronic food shortages and rural poverty and achieving enhanced livelihood and long-term food security in an environmentally and socially sustainable manner is the most pressing agenda for the country [4]. A district could be included in the PSNP when confirmed by experts that there prevails chronic food insecurity situation.

AZDoPED classified Assosa District as one of the food insecure districts found in West Ethiopia in 2019. Based on data obtained from AZFS-DPPO (2018), due to recurrent drought, the number of food aid beneficiaries in the District increased from 25 thousands in 2015 to more than 40 thousands in 2018/2019. According to the same source, the District is becoming the most food insecure area, demanding food aid for more than 21% of the population. Furthermore, the cycle of drought, famine and distress is widely increasing in the study district. Off-farm and non-farm opportunities to improve the lives of farmers and their families are limited. With ever-increasing population and recurrent drought, the household food security situation is worsening in the study area.

Statement of Problem

In Ethiopia food shortage has aggravated the already poor economy of the country. Both chronic and transitory problems of food insecurity are widespread and severe in both rural and urban areas of the country [1]

In Assossa woreda the decreasing size of farm has led to a shorter fallow periods and even continuous cropping, and limited efforts to recycle crop residues or other organic matter in to the soil. These have resulted in costly investment by smallholder farmers in chemical fertilizer so as to produce enough for their subsistence requirement. Based on data obtained from AZFS-DPPO (2018), Assosa woreda is categorized as a chronically food deficit district of west Assosa zone (personal communications). As a result a substantial food aid is distributed annually during several drought years. Agriculture in the rural part of Assosa woreda is fundamental but the woreda does not receive enough rainfall. As the soil texture of Assosa woreda is sandy soil (AZDoPED, 2019), it has no capacity to hold moisture and thus soil moisture content is low thereby making the woreda unsuitable for subsistence food production As a result, preparation and implementation of different policies to improve the livelihoods of rural people in Assosa and food security situation needs area specific information on the problems of food insecurity.

The problem of food security takes particular forms in its dynamics and extent at different level of researchers' analysis at different areas. However, in the Assosa district, there are no such studies conducted on issues related to the issue. Besides, food insecurity related challenges, e.g., productivity reduction and increased dependence on food aid (safety-net) were increasing. In view of the biophysical, socioeconomic, and cultural peculiarities of the study area, investigating the causes, status, extent and dynamics of food insecurity is crucial. Furthermore, the study site is one of the drought prone districts with the majority of the kebeles supported by the Productive Safety Net Program (PSNP). Therefore, examining the extent and dynamics of food insecurity of the Assosa district is vital for generating information to be used by development agents, local administration, researchers and other interested actors as information sources.

To fill these gaps and contribute towards tackling food insecurity problems in the locality, updated information on food insecurity extents and dynamics are crucial. Consequently, this study will be undertaken in Assosa district of Western Ethiopia to address the aforementioned problems by addressing the following objectives.

Objective of Study

General Objective

The general objective of the study is to examine the extent and dynamics of food insecurity by smallholder farmers in Assosa district of Western Ethiopia

Specific Objectives

- ✓ To examine the extent of food insecurity by small holder farmers
- ✓ To examine the dynamics of food insecurity by small holder farmers

METHODOLOGY

Description of the Study Area

The study was conducted in Assosa District, Benishangul Gumuz Region, Western Ethiopia. Assosa district is located 663 km from Addis Ababa. Assosa District is bordered in the North West direction by Kurmuk and Homosha District of Benishangul Gumuz Region; it is bordered in the Southern Direction by Mao Komo Special District of Benishangul Gumuz Region. The District is bordered in the West direction by Sudan and in the Eastern direction bordered by Bambasi District of Benishangul Gumuz Region. Its altitude ranges from 580- to 1544m.a.s.l.

The agro ecological zone of Assosa district is fully Kola. The average temperature of the district is 27 0C. The rainfall pattern of the district is monomodal rainfall distribution. The rainy season starts in May and extends to October and the dry season starts in November and extends up to end of April. The dry season have a wider temperature differences mainly on the onset it is too cold in the morning and at the night and too hot in the midday. The dry season in the district has also a windy and cloudy nature .The annual rainfall of district ranges between 850mm to 1200mm by using the moisture available from rain water most of the crops are cultivated in the district. Assosa Agricultural Development Office, 2018

Agriculture is the pillar of the household economy, intensively carried out by those who have land and livestock. Crop production and animal husbandry are major activities. The economy to the districts mainly dominated by traditional cash crop farming. Agricultural products(such as maize, sesame, groundnut and sorghum) are consumed at home and partly sold to earn cash to meet other household needs, such as school fees, and contribute to social affairs such as Ekub, Edir, etc. Assosa district is one of the seven districts of Assosa zone. Assosa district has total population of 92,687 among them 75224 of households are under food insecurity. From total population of 92,687 there are 28,881 females and 63,806 males.

Data Collection Methods

Sampling Producers/Technique

In this study a multi-stage sampling technique was employed to select sample households from population. In the first stage, out of the 7 district of Assosa zone, Assosa district(which has 72 kebeles) are food in secured and made targets according to Assosa zone agriculture office and hence Assosa district was selected purposely. In the second stage, four Kebeles were selected purposively from the 72 kebeles of Assosa district specifically most food insecured kebeles based on their level of food insecurity (households that cannot feed their household members for ≥6 months during the previous year). These four sample Kebeles are selga 20, selga 22, selga 23 and selga 24. In the third stage, from these kebeles, 138 sample food insecured households were selected randomly based on probability proportional to the size of the households in these selected Kebeles.

International Journal of Agriculture
ISSN 2520-4629X (Online)
Vol.8, Issue 3, No. 2. PP. 15 - 23, 2023

Sample Size Determination Procedure

According to Hussey and Hussey (1997), no survey can ever be believed to be free from error or provide 100% precision and error limits of less than 10% and confidence levels of higher than 90% can be regarded as acceptable. In this study, it is planned to take 8% level of precision in order to get the sample size which represents a true population. To determine the required sample size [17] was used. Hence, where n- sample size; N- total food insecured population of the four kebeles and e- level of error (8%) used. Following the formula out of 1216 households, 138 households were selected randomly for this survey.

$$n = \frac{1216}{1 + 1216(.08)^2}$$

$$n = \frac{1216}{1 + 1216(0.0064)}$$

$$n = \frac{1216}{1 + 7.7824}$$

$$n = \frac{1216}{8.7824} = 138$$

Table 1: Number of Sample Households Taken from Sample Kebeles

Name of the Kebeles	Total household size	Proportion	Sample size
Selga 20	280	0.23	32
Selga 22	250	0.21	29
Selga 23	306	0.25	34
Selga 24	380	0.31	43
Total	**1216**	**1**	**138**

Sources of Data Collection

For this study data from primary and secondary data sources were used. The primary data were collected by using different data collection methods including household survey, focus group discussions, and key informant interviews. Whereas, the secondary data were obtained from reports of government institutions, Publications document, and different websites

Household survey-The household survey was administered on 138 randomly selected households. Both open and closed ended questions were used for the household survey. The closed-ended questions were used for scoring and quantification of responses. The use of open-ended questions would allow respondents to have control over their responses rather than agreeing or disagreeing with questions posed by the researcher. Hence it would help respondents to freely express their views and opinions on the questions.

To enable high 'response rate' from the respondents, five data collectors were hired, trained in the administration of interviewing skills, collecting and conducting relevant, valid and reliable data-collection exercise. This has helped the researcher to address as many households as possible, use time and finance efficiently and allowed the researcher the space to record responses promptly.

Focus group discussions -The focus group discussions conducted with representatives of the community of four kebeles. They were conducted to draw opinion of those individuals who represent the community including women and male groups. It was done in order to triangulate points of view of participants. The Focus Group discussion helped to elicit qualitative data to

supplement and complement both quantitative and qualitative information provided by the interview guides. The number of participants in each focus group ranged from 6 to 10 persons. For this discussion, an average of one hour session was used in each kebele. In each kebele two independent focus group discussions of elders and women groups were conducted.

Key informant interviews-A total of twelve key informant interviews were conducted to share their experiences and opinions about food insecurity situation of the people in the study area. These informants were experts and leaders of different offices including woreda agriculture and natural resource offices, elders, model farmers, women and kebele authority's representatives and Agricultural Development Agents. To collect the necessary data for the study, checklists, also referring to as standardized interview were used.

Method of Data Analysis

Food Consumption Score (FCS)

The Food consumption score (FCS) is a score calculated using the frequency of consumption of different food groups consumed by a household during the 7 days before the survey. There are standard weights for each of the food groups that comprise the food consumption score. To calculate the FCS from these results, the consumption frequencies are summed and multiplied by the standardized food group weight. As shown in the table 1 below households can then be further classified as having "poor," "borderline," or "acceptable" food consumption by applying the WFP's recommended cut-offs to the food consumption score.

Table 2: Food Consumption Groups and Cutoffs

Food Consumption Group	Food Consumption Score without Oil and Sugar	Food Consumption with Oil and Sugar
Poor Diet	0-21	0-28
Borderline Diet	21.5-35	28.5-42
Acceptance Diet	>35	>42

Source: WFP 2008

Qualitative Data Analysis

Qualitative data analysis involves the identification, examination, and interpretation of patterns and themes in textual data and determines how these patterns and themes help answer the research questions at hand. The qualitative data (non- numerical and information) were incorporated into analysis which supports the numerical finding to establish a clear and credible links between the qualitative and quantitative information in the final analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Dynamics and Extent of Food Insecurity

Extent of Food Insecurity

Based on world food program to calculate the FCS results of food group, out of total respondents 81.16 percent of food insecurity household were poor food consumption groups. The other remaining 7.25 percent and 11.59 percent of food security households were borderline and acceptable food consumption group, respectively. This indicates that the extent of food insecurity of the households is high because most of households were found poor food consumption group.

International Journal of Agriculture
ISSN 2520-4629X (Online)
Vol.8, Issue 3, No. 2. PP. 15 - 23, 2023
www.iprjb.org

Table 3: Distribution of Sample Households by Extent of Food Insecurity

Extent	Food insecured HH		Food secured HH		Total HH	
	Frequency	Percent	Frequent	Percent	Frequent	Percent
Poor food consumption	112	100	0	0	112	81.18
Borderline consumption	0	0	10	38.46	10	7.25
Acceptance consumption	0	0	16	61.54	16	11.59
Total	**112**	**100**	**26**	**100**	**138**	**100**

Source: Survey Result

Dynamics of Food Insecurity

In the study area analysis of household food insecurity during the last recent four years shows that the situation of food insecurity varies over time. The result shows that in 2018 and 2019 food secure households declined from 42% to 38.41%, and food insecure households increased from 58% to 61.59%. The proportion in 2020 and 2021 of food secure households declined from 21.74% to 18.84%, and food insecure households increased from 78.26% to 81.16%. In year 2019, food secures households declined by 3.59% and food insecure households increased by 3.59% from past year. While in 2021, foods secure households declined by 2.9% and food insecure households increased by 2.9% from 2020. See the (Figure 1 below) shows the trend in food insecurity that shows increment from year to year due to decreasing fertility of soil and drought



Figure 1: Dynamics in Food Insecurity

Source: Survey Result

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study has analyzed the extent and dynamics of food insecurity in the rural farm households of the Assosa district of West Ethiopia. The findings show that the majority, 81.16% of the sampled households, were found to be food insecure during the period of the survey. According to the food consumption score (FCS) of the world food program, out of total respondents 81.16 percent are categorized in poor food diet groups, while the remaining 7.25% and 11.59% are put under the borderline diet and acceptable diet groups, respectively. In the study area analysis of household food insecurity during the last recent four years shows that the situation of food insecurity varies over time. In year 2019, food secures households declined by 3.59% and food insecure households increased by 3.59% from past year. While in 2021, foods secure households declined by 2.9% and food insecure households increased by 2.9% from 2020. Food security issues at the Assosa district of West Ethiopia requires more in-depth and continued study outputs and proper use and implementation of the information gained as the area is found to be influenced by several, interlocked and site specific dynamics and extent that, of course, require immediate and coordinated attention from different actors.

REFERENCES

[1] Agidew, Am.A., Singh, K.N. Determinants of food insecurity in the rural farm households in South Wollo Zone of Ethiopia: the case of the Teleyayen sub-watershed. *Agric Econ* 6, 10 (2018).

[2] Bureau of Finance and Economic Development (BoFED) (2017).Oromia atlas: Oromia Finance and Economic Development Bureau. Addis Ababa

[3] Dione J (2004). Assuring food and nutritional security in Africa by 2020: A discussion paper for IFPRI.

[4] EPSNP. (2015). Soil carbon and fertility impact assessment. Ethiopia‘s Productive Safety Net Program (EPSNP):, Addis Ababa.

[5] European Union (EU) (2012). Effectiveness of European Union development aid for food security in Sub- Saharan Africa: Special report No. 1, 2012.

[6] Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia (FDRE. (2002). Food Security Strategy in Ethiopia. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

[7] FAO (2006) Food Security Competitiveness: Concepts and Perspectives:

[8] FAO (2012) FAO crop and food security assessment mission to Ethiopia. Special report.

[9] FAO, 2014).Food Security Indicators

[10] FAO (2018) Trade Reforms and Food security: Conceptualizing the linkages

[11] Fox, L., & Jayne, T.S. (2020, December 14). Africa in Focus: Unpacking the misconceptions About Africa’s food imports

[12] Guajarati DN (2004). Basic econometrics, 4th Edition: McGraw-Hill Inc. New York

[13] Maddala GS (1992). Introduction to econometrics, 2nd edition: Macmillan publishing co. New York

[14] World Food Program (WFP) (2006). A review of emergency food security assessment practice in Ethiopia: A study commissioned and prepared for World Food Programme, Rome.

[15] WFP (2017) Famine Early Warning Systems Network, Ethiopia Food Security Outlook Update, August 2017 report

[16] World Bank (2018) World Bank Open Data. World Bank Group, Washington, D.C.

[17] World Food Programme (WFP). (2018).Wfp.org/hunger, zero hunger challenges WFP,2018

[17] Yamane T. (1967). Statistics: An Introductory Analysis, 2nd Edition.

[18] (Yard, 1999).